

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,667	07/01/2003	N. Sandor Racz	2102-5841US	5389
7590 11/16/2005 -			EXAMINER	
TRASKBRITT, PC P.O. Box 2550			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
Salt Lake City, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3,	•		3739	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/611,667	RACZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rosiland S. Rollins	3739			
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>29 <i>August 2005</i></u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the pract	ice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-16 is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-44 is/are rejective. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restri	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
• • • • • • •	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to bection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1)		iummary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03. 		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,667 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

Election/Restrictions

Claims 11-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 8/29/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 17-38 and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sharkey et al. (US 6638276). Sharkey et al. disclose a method of protecting spinal nerves and a method for performing spinal disc lesioning comprising inserting a first hollow needle comprising a first thermocouple into a first space between the spinal disc and nerve root; monitoring the temperature near the nerve root and reducing the temperature near the nerve root in response to the monitoring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharkey et al. Sharkey et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except providing a second hollow needle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second hollow needle, since it has been held to that a mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739